

Analytical Review of Individual TIPs

Title:		
Implementing Agency:		
Cost:		
Location:		
Time frame:		
SCRUTINY BY TECHNICAL COMMITTEE		
		Remarks
Eligibility Assessment		
TIP is in accordance with the 11 Thematic Areas		<p>11 Thematic areas are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Audit 2. Accounts 3. Communication, Strategic Monitoring and Social Audit processes 4. Balochistan medium-term policy making, resource management systems 5. Province training, capacity building and mentoring arrangements* 6. Local government regulation and intergovernmental relations 7. Intergovernmental fiscal transfers and local revenue generation 8. Performance Budgeting and Service Delivery 9. Political & Institutional arrangements and administrative restructuring for improved local government performance 10. Public access, information and internal audit/quality assurance 11. Public grievance and dispute resolution, internal administrative process and functionality of Ombudsman office <p>The TIP must address issue/s related to one or more of the thematic areas.</p>
Mandate of client agency	√	<p>Mandate is the function, role / responsibility of an agency as provided for in any law / rules / bye-laws.</p> <p>E.g. According to LGO 2001, health and education are District Government subjects. Any TIP from a TMA / UA on improvement of service delivery in these two subjects should not be entertained as it is not the mandate of the client agency.</p> <p>Similarly a TIP from a DG on improvement of municipal services should be rejected as municipal services are the domain of TMA.</p>

Comparative advantage in implementation by client agency	√	<p>Comparative advantage of implementing agency can be judged by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Economic cost in implementing the proposals ii. Managerial feasibility <p>E.g. Issues common to a number of Local Governments, such as bye laws for Accounts Committees, would be perfect candidates for a consolidated TIP implemented across all TMAs as this would allow the TA resources to benefit from the economies of scale and managerial feasibility.</p>
Duration is less than one year	√	<p>In the first year of AWP, TIPs with implementation period exceeding one year should not be entertained.</p>
Technical Evaluation		
Impact on capacities		
Basic capacities being addressed		<p>How will the TIP impact the role / function of institution / LG / department as given in the Rules of Business?</p> <p>Does the TIP reflect a prioritisation of needs?</p> <p>E.g. A TIP for sending officers of a DG on foreign training will not address the basic capacity of the government.</p>
Demonstrable impact on local service delivery		<p>Demonstrable impact on local service delivery can be assessed by the expected difference in performance of LG / Department / IA / clients after the implementation of the TIP. This can be measured from the outcome indicators.</p>
Demonstrable impact on strengthening local accountabilities	√	<p>This can be judged by answering the following questions?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Has the clarity in roles and responsibilities of the institution increased? ii. Does the TIP lead to reduction in discretionary powers of the individuals? iii. Does it lead to increased public disclosure and transparency? iv. Does it comply with the existing legal requirements? Or does it lead to new enforcement mechanisms?
Investment in new institutional entities / mechanisms		<p>Does it strengthen the institutions / mechanisms created under LGO?</p> <p>PFC and LGC are new mechanisms created under the LGO 2001.</p> <p>Committees, CCBs, Neighbourhood Councils etc. are the mechanisms created in LGO 2001.</p>
Demand Driven		
Participation		<p>Is there a match between the thematic areas under discussion and participants in dialogue sessions?</p> <p>This can be assessed by checking the registration forms sent with IPs to PSOs by Focal Persons.</p>

		If the proposal relates to training of lady councillors, the presence of lady councillors in the dialogue session would indicate demand driven aspect of the proposal.
Local Political commitment		Have the elected representatives participated in the dialogue sessions? Was the IP discussed in the council? Was the IP originated by an elected representative?
Design		
Logical (Design, sequence, scope)	√	Does the TIP provide adequate details of design, scope and sequencing of activities? E.g. in a TIP for training in accounts, following details would be necessary: i. Target audience ii. TNA iii. Sequencing of activities iv. Training methodology v. Implementation arrangements vi. Input, output and outcome indicators
Synergies and linkages	√	Does this TIP build on existing / on going initiatives or duplicate them? E.g. in a TIP for training in budgeting, does the TIP explicitly identify similar on-going or past trainings for the target segment and adds to the existing skills levels. Does the TIP enable the LG / IA to become a beneficiary / participant in similar initiatives? E.g. Does a TIP for training in budgeting provides a mechanism for nominating the target audience in similar on-going trainings or for utilizing the services of staff who have already received similar trainings.
Institutionalization		Does the TIP lead to development of standards, policies, legal or quasi legal mechanisms? E.g. development of a manual leads to common levels of performance thus setting minimum standards.
Sustainability		Does the TIP provide for any mechanism which ensures that the benefits or impact of the intervention lasts beyond the TIP implementation period?
Innovative		Does the TIP suggest new methodologies, design, ideas, principles, approaches etc. towards solution of existing and perennial problems? E.g. a TIP for HR Audit in a district government, used as a

		precursor for modern human resource management.			
Methodology		<p>Does the TIP include a clear mechanism for implementation of the proposal?</p> <p>Does it assign clear roles and responsibilities for various actions / activities?</p> <p>Is there a clear sequencing of activities?</p> <p>E.g. in a TIP for training for elected representatives on the provisions of LGO, following should be indicated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The approach: Training of Trainers or Universal coverage of target segment ii. Nature of service provisions: Public, private exclusively or a mix of the two. iii. Evaluation mechanisms 			
Implementation time is practicable	√				
Cost Evaluation					
Realistic	×	Are the resource allocations in consonance with the scope of activities? Is the TIP under or over resourced?			
Adequate detailing	×	<p>Have the costs been given in a lump sum amount or with break-up of individual items?</p> <p>Is every activity included in the TIP costed for?</p> <p>What is the basis of costing?</p>			
Recommendations					
Eligible	√	Returned to PSO for adding details		Ineligible	
Comments					